2006 SCREENING EXERCISE

• THE NEW APPROACH TO TECHNICAL HARMONISATION

Jacques McMillan

Head of Unit DG Enterprise and Industry Legal issues linked to the Internal Market

OLD APPROACH HARMONISATION

VERY DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS
 MIX OF NATIONAL TEXTS
 LENGTHY APPROVAL PROCESS
 PUBLIC AUTHORITIES APPROVE
 POLITICAL DECISIONS

SINGLE EUROPEAN ACT

NTERNAL MARKET TO BE COMPLETED BY 31 DECEMBER 1992

BY THE CREATION OF A TECHNICAL ENVIRONMENT WHICH GIVES THE NECESSARY LEVEL OF <u>CONFIDENCE</u> FOR THE FREE PLACING ON THE MARKET AND FREE CIRCULATION OF SAFE GOODS

<u>ORIGINS</u>

•DIFFICULTIES OF OLD **APPROACH** -ADOPTION OF 83/189 DIRECTIVE ·CASSIS DE DIJON & BIOLOGISCHE PRODUCTEN -31 DECEMBER 1992 NEED FOR NEW LEGISATIVE TECHNIQUES

CONFIDENCE

·SAFETY/QUALITY OF PRODUCTS • COMPETENCE OF SUPPLIERS • COMPETENCE OF THIRD PARTIES *TRANSPARENCY OF PROCEDURES PROPER SAFEGUARD MECHANISMS -ENFORCEMENT/MARKET SURVEILLANCE

NEW/GLOBAL APPROACHES

•COUNCIL RESOLUTION OF 7 MAY 1985
•COUNCIL RESOLUTION OF 13 DEC. 1989
•COUNCIL DECISION OF 21 DEC. 1990
•COUNCIL DECISION OF 22 JULY 1993
•COUNCIL RESOLUTION OF 11 NOV. 2003

GUIDING PRINCIPLES (1)

LEGISLATION IS RESTRICTED TO
ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS WHILST
DETAILS REMAIN IN TECHNICAL
SPECIFICATIONS OR STANDARDS

GUIDING PRINCIPLES (2)

THE PRIVILEDGED ROUTE TO THE MARKET IS THROUGH HARMONISED EUROPEAN STANDARDS ADOPTED BY THE CEN/CENELEC/ETSI ON MANDATE FROM THE COMMISSION AFTER OPINION OF 83/189 COMMITTEE

GUIDING PRINCIPLES (3)

THE EUROPEAN STANDARDS REMAIN OLUNTARY BUT WHEN FOLLOWED GIVE PRESUMPTION OF CONFORMITY TO THE ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS

GUIDING PRINCIPLES (4)

•MANUFACTURERS WHO FOLLOW
THE STANDARDS BENEFIT FROM LESS
BURDENSOME CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT.
•OTHERS MUST DEMONSTRATE
CONFORMITY THROUGH THIRD PARTY
[NB: depends on level of risk in some directives]

GLOBAL APPROACH

FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES OF THE NEW APPROACH, FIXES THE CRITERIA OF COMPETENCE FOR SUPPLIERS & THURD PARTIES AND SETS OUT THE TRANSPARENCY MECHANISMS FOR CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (MODULES, NOTIFICATION, ACCREDITATION & MARKING)

SCOPE

BECAUSE OF THE RISK ORIENTED
NATURE OF THE ESSENTIAL
REQUIREMENTS, ALL NEW APPROACH
DIRECTIVES ARE VERY WIDE & COVER
SECTORS OR RISKS AS OPPOSED TO
INDIVIDUAL PRODUCTS

ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS

BY DEFINITION THESE REQUIREMENTS ARE RESTRICTED TO ISSUES OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY, ETC. SET OUT IN ARTICLE 36. •RISK ORIENTED AND SET HIGH SAFETY LEVELS OF PROTECTION. NOT PRODUCT SPECIFICATION ORTENTED

HARMONISED STANDARDS

THESE SET OUT THE DETAILED
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE
PRODUCTS ACCORDING TO THE
ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS.
GENERAL REFERENCE BUT LISTS
PUBLISHED IN THE O.J.E.C.

HARMONISED STANDARDS

CONFORMANCE TO HARMONISED STANDARDS GIVES PRESUMPTION OF CONFORMITY TO THE ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS

BURDEN OF PROOF LIES WITH NATIONAL AUTHORITIES

FREE CIRCULATION CLAUSE

·AS DIRECTIVES SET LEVELS OF PROTECTION, SO CLAUSE RELATES TO MARKETING AND INSTALLATION SETS ONE LEVEL OF SAFETY FOR ENTIRE UNION, SO HARMONISATION IS TOTAL AND MEMBER STATES MUST ENSURE MARKET SURVEILLANCE.

CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT

•PROCEDURES FOR CONFORMITY
OF PRODUCTS
•CRITERIA FOR SUPPLIERS
•CRITERIA FOR THIRD PARTIES
•PROCEDURES FOR CONFORMITY OF
SUPPLIERS & THIRD PARTIES
•MARKING

PRINCIPLES OF MODULES

THE IMPLEMENTATION OF EACH DIRECTIVE IS BASED ON A BALANCE BETWEEN THE BURDEN OF THE CONFORMITY PROCEDURE & APPROPRIATE MARKET SURVEILLANCE MEASURES

CE MARKING

ONLY MARKING OF CONFORMITY TO DIRECTIVES BASED ON MODULAR APPROACH

•MEANS CONFORMITY TO ALL DIRECTIVES APPLIED BY THE SUPPLIER (MATERIALISES HIS DECLARATION)

SAFEGUARD CLAUSES

•ALL NEW APPROACH DIRECTIVES HAVE A PRODUCT SAFEGUARD CLAUSE WHICH IMPOSES A COMMUNITY PROCEDURE FOR DANGEROUS PRODUCTS WHICH GET TO THE MARKET ANY POSITION WHICH COMES OUT OF THISPROCEDURE IMPOSES ITSELF ON NATIONAL AUTHORITIES

SAFEGUARD CLAUSES

•ALL DIRECTIVES HAVE A SAFEGUARD CLAUSE FOR DEFICIENT HARMONISED STANDARDS OR BADLY IMPLEMENTED STANDARDS
•IN SUCH CASES THE COMMISSION MUST DECIDE TO WITHDRAW THEM FROM THE O.J.E.C. AND SETA NEW MANDATE

MARKET SURVEILLANCE

ALL MEMBER STATES MUST TAKE MEASURES TO ENSURE PROPER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DIRECTIVES, INCLUDING SURVEILLANCE OF SUPPLIERS DECLARATIONS, NOTIFIED BODIES, ABUSIVE MARKING & HAVE MEANS OF OPERATING SAFEGUARDS (DECISIONS)