NOTE: This document is work in the final draft which needs to be consulted internally. The purpose of this document is to assist the transposition checking carried out by external contractors commissioned by Unit ENV A.2.

CHECKLIST

ON THE TRANSPOSITION REQUIREMENTS OF THE WATER FRAMEWORK DIRECTIVE 2000/60/EC ('LEGISLATIVE ANALYSES AND CONFORMITY CHECK')

MEMBER STATE:	
LEGAL INSTRUMENT:	
REFERENCE:	
MORE INFORMATION:	
DATE OF ASSESSMENT:	
LANGUAGE OF ASSESSMENT:	

General questions:

QUE	STIONS	ANSWER
(1)	a) Are there different levels of geographical coverage of the transposition (national/regional?b) Are there different legal instruments used for the transposition (e.g. law/decree)?	YES/NO (please specify) YES/NO (please specify)
(2)	Was a transformation table provided by the Member State? If yes, please check for correctness and enclose to assessment. If no, please complete and enclose to assessment.	YES / NO

(3) Have all annexes been transposed?	YES / NO
Final assessment: Is the transposition complete?	YES / NO
If no, specify areas of "non-completeness" and "non-conformity". A discussion on the seriousness of the "non-conformity" should ideally be included.	Specifications and annexes are not been transposed – supposed to be done in further Regulations

Specific questions for assessing the transposition:

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
Article 1	No	-	
Purpose			
Article 2 Definitions	Yes, in particular 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 31, 32, 38, 39	-	The following guidance document No. 2. "Identification of water bodies" is relevant (pls find under): http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/water/water-framework/guidance_documents.html
Article 3 Administrative arrangements	Yes, in particular		
	Paragraph 1	Has the river basin district been designated on the basis of river basin definition (not administrative boundaries)?	
		Is the assignment of coastal waters and groundwaters clearly regulated?	
	Paragraph 2	Are competent authorities being identified?	
		Are the competences clearly defined?	
		Where there is more than one competent authority, is there sufficient provision for cooperation, coherence and consistency?	
		Do the administrative arrangements cover all the rules of the Directive?	

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
	Paragraph 3	Has the Member State given a duty to assign international river basins to a devolved national authority?	(question 1: in particular relevant in Member States with federal structures)
		Are there appropriate administrative arrangements for implementation of Art 13 (2) regarding the coordination within international river basin districts?	In relation to the second part of paragraph 3, the same question under paragraph 2 apply.
		Does the national legislation make clear who is entitled to make a request to the EC?	
	Paragraph 4	What are the legislative provisions for ensuring coordination where there is a geographical and/or functional division of competences for a river basins district?	
	Paragraph 5	What are the legislative provisions for ensuring coordination for an international river basins district?	Paragraphs 4 and 5 of Article 3 are similar in purpose but reflect the important difference between situations involving EU Member States (paragraph 4) and non-EU Member States (paragraph 5). See also article 13 (2 and 3).
	Paragraph 6	Has the Member State made use	See also article 13 (2 and 3).
	D 17.0	of this possibility?	
	Paragraph 7, 8 and 9	Is the deadline for implementation included?	
Article 4	Yes		This article requires a detailed analysis.
Environ- mental objectives			The following document on "Environmental Objectives under the Water Framework Directive" may be relevant as background information (pls find under):

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
			http://europa.eu.int/comm/enviro nment/water/water- framework/index_en.html
	Paragraph 1 (a) Surface Waters	What is the operative date of by which the "no deterioration obligation" comes into effect?	
	Paragraph 1 (a) (i) "no deterioration"	Which administrative bodies have been given a duty to ensure "non deterioration"? Are the powers of these bodies sufficiently broad in scope to allow them to fulfil this duty?	
		What is the baseline against which deterioration is being measured?	For example, the analysis in accordance to Article 5 WFD could be used as such a baseline.
	Paragraph 1 (a) (ii) "good status"	Have these obligations been explicitly mentioned in the transposition?	
	good status	Does the national legislation fully reflect the details of the duties concerned, in particular the explicit details of Annex V?	Transposing legislation may consist of main texts which are supplemented by detailed implementing legislation. It is
		In particular, does the transposition establish duties on subsidiary administrative bodies that reflect these obligations?	necessary to check to the level necessary to confirm that Annex V will be respected.
	Paragraph 1 (a) (iii)	Same as paragraph 1 (a) (ii) above	The following guidance document No. 4. "Identification
	"good potential for HMWB"		and Designation of Heavily Modified and Artificial Water Bodies" is relevant (pls find under): http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/water/water-framework/guidance_documents.html
	Paragraph 1 (a)	Same as paragraph 1 (a) (ii) above	Same as paragraph 1 (a) (ii)

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
	(iv)		above
	"pollution reduction or cessation"		
	Paragraph 1 (b) Groundwater	See also same paragraph 1 (a) (i) for surface waters above	Same as paragraph 1 (a) (i) for surface waters above
	Paragraph 1 (b) (i)		
	"no deterioration"		
	Paragraph 1 (b) (ii)	Same as paragraph 1 (a) (ii) for surface waters above	Same as paragraph 1 (a) (ii) for surface waters above
	"good status"		
	Paragraph 1 (b) (iii)	Same as paragraph 1 (a) (ii) for surface waters above	Same as paragraph 1 (a) (ii) for surface waters above
	"trend reversal"		
	Paragraph 1 (c) Protected areas	For protected areas (as defined in the legislation listed in Annex IV) has the Member States legislation respected the 15 year compliance deadline or the deadlines otherwise specified?	
	Paragraph 2	Is there an explicit provision to	
	Most stringent objective	give effect this paragraph?	
	Paragraph 3	Does national law set out a	
	Designation of AWB/HMWB	designation procedure to ensure that for "artificial and heavily modified" water bodies the conditions set out at sub- paragraph (a), sub-sub-paragraphs (i) to (v) and (b) are met? Please	

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
	Paragraph 4 Extension of deadlines	describe procedure. If so, by what mechanism is the designation reflected in the river basin management plan in accordance with the last sentence of paragraph 3? Is there a procedure for extending the deadlines to ensure that the conditions set out at subparagraph (a), sub-sub-paragraphs (i) to (iii) are met? Please describe procedure.	
		If so, by what mechanism is the application of extension of the deadlines reflected in the river basin management plan in accordance with the subparagraphs (b) and (d)? Is it clear in national law that the part to extend deadlines respects sub-paragraph (c)?	
	Paragraph 5 Less stringent objectives	Is there a procedure for setting a less stringent objective to ensure that the conditions set out at subparagraph (a) to (c) are met? Please describe procedure. If so, by what mechanism is the setting less stringent objectives reflected in the river basin management plan in accordance with the sub-paragraph (d)?	
		Is there a link being made between the application of paragraph 5 and 4 in the sense that the resort to paragraph 5 is only made when the possibility of an extension of deadlines under paragraph 4 will be insufficient to achieve the objective of paragraph	

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
		1?	
	Paragraph 6 Temporary deteriorations	Is there a procedure for declaring that the conditions allowing a temporary deterioration apply?	This obligation is an <i>ex post</i> obligation in contrast to paragraphs 3, 4, 5 and 7.
		How is it ensured that the safeguards set out at sub-paragraph (a) to (e) are met?	
		What is the baseline against which temporary deterioration is being measured?	
	Paragraph 7 New modifications and developments	How does the national transposition define "new modifications" and "new sustainable human development activities" (including the deadline by when they are considered "new")?	
		Does national law set out a prior approval procedure to ensure that for "new modifications" and "new sustainable human development activities" the conditions set out at subparagraphs (a), (c) and (d) are met?	Such a procedure is likely to be linked to the requirements of the EIA Directive (85/337/EEC) or SEA (2001/42/EC) Directive and possible the requirements of Article 6 of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC).
		If so, by what mechanism is the approval reflected in the river basin management plan in accordance with sub-paragraph (b)?	
	Paragraph 8 Limitation of derogations to water body	Are there specific provisions transposing this paragraph?	
	Paragraph 9 Safeguard of	Are there specific provisions transposing this paragraph?	

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
	existing level of protection		
Article 5	Yes	Are the requirements transposed	The EC has an interest in
Analysis		correctly? (Note: needs to be checked in conjunction with Annex II and III)	knowing whether and if so how responsibility for the analyses and reviews mentioned in Article 5 is allocated to specific authorities and if so how?
Article 6 Protected areas	Yes	Is the establishment of the register provided for including deadline for implementation and review clause?	See comment Article 5.
Article 7	Yes		
Drinking Water areas			
	Paragraph 1	Has the obligation for the designation process been transposed correctly?	
	Paragraph 2	What reference is made to the drinking water standards in the national legislation?	
	Paragraph 3	How is the requirements of reduction in the "level of purification treatment" transposed?	
		Have "safeguard zones" been established?	
Article 8 Monitoring	Yes	Are the monitoring requirements transposed correctly? (Note: needs to be checked in conjunction with Annex V)	See comment Article 5.
Article 9 Cost recovery	Yes	(Paragraph 1) Is this requirement reflected in the national legislation? If not, is there any formal	The following guidance document No. 1. "Economics and the Environment - The Implementation Challenge of the Water Framework Directive" is

p	Reference to particular paragraphs		
		indication of how and when this provision will be fulfilled, eg policy document? If yes, how is the requirement addressed? What is the scope of the equivalent national provisions? Does it clearly distinguish between water services and water uses? As regards "incentives" referred to in the first indent, what form do these take, eg is there a "pro rata" pricing or "flat rate" structure for the different water uses? As regards "adequate contribution" referred to in the second indent, does the MS invoke Article 9 (4) and if so, provide details. If not, is there full disaggregation for, at least, households, industry and agriculture? Are these sectors defined in to comprehensively cover all water uses or are there other uses which fall outside these, eg recreational uses (eg bathing, pleasure boating)? Has the MS invoked the possibilities referred to in the last sentence, and if so, how and with what justification? Is there a link to Article 9 (4)? (Paragraph 2) see reporting on RBMP, cf. Article 15 and Annex VII. (Paragraph 3) Are there legislative provisions addressing the sorts of funding arrangements mentioned in this paragraph?	relevant (pls find under): http://europa.eu.int/comm/enviro nment/water/water- framework/guidance_documents .html

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
		(Paragraph 4) Is there any legislative provision that represents an invocation of Article 9 (4) which allows this article to be invoked in the future?	
Article 10 Combined approach	Yes	Is the "combined approach" transposed correctly, in "words" and "spirit"?	
Article 11	Yes		
Programme of measures			
	Paragraph 1	What form does the MS give to the concept of a programme?	According to Case law related to other water legislation, certain conditions have to be fulfilled in
		Who must fulfil the duty to establish a PoMs in the MS?	order for a valid programme to exist (see ECJ Decision XXX).
		Is account taken of the results of the Art. 5 analysis and the Art. 8 monitoring programmes and if so, how? In addition, is it clear that programme of measures are governed by the requirement to achieve Article 4 objectives?	
		Has the transposing legislation provided for whole territory measures arising from national	Second sentence of paragraph 1, points to the integration need of all relevant measures.
		legislation? To what extent are measures required under other Community legislation covered/mentioned? (e.g. Art. 7 of 76/464/EEC and Nitrates Directive)	Third sentence of paragraph 1 allows for a different territorial scope of measures directly intended to implement Directive 2000/60/EC.
	Paragraph 2	Does the legislation distinguish between "basic" and "supplementary" measures?	See "basic" measures in Article 11.3 and Annex VI, Part A.
		Is it clear that the programme	See "supplementary" measures in Article 11.4 and Annex VI,

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
		must, at least, contain the "basic" measures set out in paragraph 3? Is there a reference to "supplementary" in the national legislation? And under what circumstances and in what way are "supplementary" measures required to be included in programmes?	Part B.
	Paragraph 3	Are all "basic" measures transposed correctly? Check each sub-paragraph (a) to (l) specifically! To what extent have the specific requirements of sub-paragraphs (a) to (l) been regulated in detail in the transposing legislation and to what extent are they deferred to later measures?	The "basic" measures include a number of other pieces of EU legislation specified in Annex VI, Part A. If issues relating to transposition of these Directives is noted, it should be reported but a comprehensive transposition check of these other directives is not foreseen under the assessment for the WFD.
		(Note: many of the obligations in Article 11 (3)(e.g. prior regulation of discharges and prior authorisation of abstractions) may be transposed in specific legislation, decrees, government orders etc. These specific pieces of legislation will need to be in place as well.)	(Note: the conformity checking of paragraph 3 might require a particular and extensive degree of analysis given the very broad scope of the stipulated subparagraphs (a) to (l).)
	Paragraph 4	See also paragraph 2. In particular, under what circumstances and in what way are "supplementary" measures required to be included in programmes?	
	Paragraph 5	Is the process regarding failure of objectives set up clearly? Are each of the matters referred to in the indents covered in a binding	Should be read and assessed together with Article 4, in particular exemptions Art. 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6.

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
		national review mechanism?	
	Paragraph 6	How has the obligation regarding prevention of increase in pollution been transposed?	The first sentence of paragraph 6 might be considered as referring to waters outside of the scope of application of the directive (i.e. marine waters). In contrast, the second sentence would refer to waters within the scope of the directive (i.e. surface waters).
	Paragraph 7 and 8	Are the timetables and deadlines transposed correctly?	
Article 12	No, but see		It is possible that MS legislation
Unresolved issues	comments		made reference to Article 12.1 in which case the relevant provisions should be identified and explained.
Article 13	Yes		
River Basin Management Plan (RBMP)			
	Paragraph 1	Has the task of producing the river basin management plan been clearly attributed to the competent authority (see Art. 3)? If not, to whom has the task been attributed and is it known why the competent authority has not been given the task?	
	Paragraph 2	How is the duty of coordination within an international river basin district provided for in national legislation and/or administrative arrangements?	Information on the international cooperation requirements must also be included in implementation reports of the MS provided for under Article 3
		How is the need to meet the deadlines given in paragraphs 6 and 7 addressed in relation to coordination within an	(8).

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
		international river basin district? How is the alternative possibility given in the second sentence in paragraph 13 (2) covered in the national legislation and/or administrative arrangements?	
	Paragraph 3	Are there any legislative provisions or administrative arrangements covering cooperation with third countries for the purposes of this requirement of the directive?	Paragraphs 2 and 3 of Article 13 are similar in purpose but reflect the important difference between situations involving EU Member States (paragraph 2) and non-EU Member States (paragraph 3).
	Paragraph 4	Does the national legislation clearly and completely transpose the provisions of paragraph 4 and the related Annex VII requirements?	See also article 3 (4 and 5).
		Does the national legislation provide for additional requirements beyond Annex VII (eg flooding)?	
	Paragraph 5	Has the Member States specifically provided for the possibility as outlined in paragraph 5 in its national legislation?	
		Has it specifically identified the relevant plans and programmes and/or the authorities with competence to adopt and implement them?	
		Are there provisions aimed at ensuring consistency and coherence between the river basin management plans and the supplementary programmes and	

Article or Annex	Transposition required / Reference to particular paragraphs	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Paragraph 6 and 7	plans? Are the timetables and deadlines transposed correctly?	The review clause in paragraph 7 might be linked to the extension of deadlines in Article 4 (paragraph 4 c).
Article 14 Public Participation	Yes, in particular paragraph 1	The EC has an interest in learning whether and if so how MS distinguish between the notion of active involvement referred to the first sentence in Article 14 paragraph 1 (see guidance document nr.8) and the requirement for information supply and consultation (see remaining part of paragraph 1).	The following guidance document No. 8. "Public Participation in Relation to the Water Framework Directive" is relevant (pls find under): http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/water/water-framework/guidance_documents.html
		It is also important to check whether and if so how the implementing provisions for article 14 are linked to provisions to implement other Directives, in particular the SEA Directive (2001/42/EC). Have the MS combined arrangements for both?	See guidance document on SEA Directive: http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/eia/sea-support.htm
		With regard to the final sentence of Article 14.1, there is an interest in knowing whether and if so how there is any link to provisions transposing community access to information legislation, see in particular Directive 1990/313/EEC and Directive 2004/3/EC.	
Article 15 Reporting	No, but see comments	-	It is possible that MS legislation may fix a duty on an individual authority or authorities to make reports. In this case this should be identified and explained.
Article 16 Priority	No, with the exception of	-	Under paragraph 8, Member States are required to provide

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
Substances	paragraph 8.		national provisions if there is no agreement on a Community legal instrument.
Article 17 Groundwater	No, with the exception of paragraph 4.	-	Under paragraph 4, Member States are required to provide national provisions if there is no agreement on a Community legal instrument.
Article 18 Commission reports	No	-	
Article 19 Future measures	No	-	
Article 20 Technical adaptations	No	-	
Article 21 Committee	No	-	
Article 22 Repeals	No, but see comments.	-	MS legislation may formally repeal existing national legislation used to transpose the Directives indented to be repealed by Article 22. In this event the national repealing provision should be identified and explained. Furthermore, if the national repeal dates are earlier than foreseen in Article 22 this should be note and analysed.
			It should be checked whether and how MS have ensured the same level of protection for these Directives (see Article 4

Article or Annex	Transposition required /	Key Points/Questions	Comments
	Reference to particular paragraphs		
			paragraph 1 c and 9).
Article 23	Yes	Has a provision on penalties been included?	
Penalties		Are penalties "effective, proportionate and dissuasive"?	
Article 24	no, apart from number (1)	Has a reference to Directive 2000/60/EC been included in the	
Implementati on	second paragraph	transposed instrument?	
Article 25	No	-	
Entry into force			
Article 26	No	-	
Addressees			
Annex 1 Competent authorities	No, but see comments.	-	The EC has an interest in knowing whether and if so how responsibility for the respective Annex is allocated to specific authorities and if so how?
Annex 2 Characterisat ion, pressures and impacts	No, but see comments.	-	The EC has an interest in knowing whether and if so how responsibility for the respective Annex is allocated to specific authorities and if so how?
Annex 3 Economic analysis	No, but see comments.	-	The EC has an interest in knowing whether and if so how responsibility for the respective Annex is allocated to specific authorities and if so how?
Annex 4 Protected areas	No, but see comments.	-	The EC has an interest in knowing whether and if so how responsibility for the respective Annex is allocated to specific

Article or Annex	Transposition required / Reference to particular paragraphs	Key Points/Questions	Comments
			authorities and if so how?
Annex 5 Classificatio n and monitoring	Yes	-	The importance of Annex 5 is stressed since it specifies the objectives laid down in Article 4.
Annex 6 Programme of measures	No, but see comments.	-	The EC has an interest in knowing whether and if so how responsibility for the respective Annex is allocated to specific authorities and if so how?
Annex 7 River Basin Management Plan	No, but see comments.	-	The EC has an interest in knowing whether and if so how responsibility for the respective Annex is allocated to specific authorities and if so how?
Annex 8	Yes	-	
Pollutant groups			
Annex 9 ELVs and EQS	Yes	Have the ELVs and EQS been referred to in the national legislation?	
Annex 10 Priority substances	Yes (according to Decision 2455/2001/EC)	Has the list of priority substance been included in the national legislation? (check substances)	
Annex 11 Ecoregions	No	-	